

5. PU K. BIAKCHUNGNUNGA to move -
 "That the Member of this House do proceed to elect in the manner required by Sub Rule (3) of Rule 182 of the Rules of procedure and Conduct of Business in Mizoram Legislative Assembly, a member to fill one vacancy in public Accounts Committee caused by an appointment of Pu Hiphei as Minister of state on 16.6.1984".
6. Pu LALHUTHANGA to move an amendment to rule 12 of the Mizoram Pension of Members of the Legislative Assembly Rules, 1984.

SPEAKER : Better is a little that the righteous has than the abundance of many wicked. For the arms of the wicked shall be broken : but the LORD upholdeth the righteous.

Psalm 37:16,17.

Our Business No. 1, is Obituary Reference on the death of Mr. Aurobindo Ghosh, an M.P. of W. Bengal. We shall call upon Leader of the House to make Obituary Reference.

PU LAL THANHAWLA : Pu Speaker, Yesterday we had and
CHIEF MINISTER Obituary Reference on the brutal murder of the Hon'ble Prime Minister. Today also we have a sad reference. Mr. Aurobindo Ghosh, was a Rajya Sabha Member from W. Bengal. He died after he suffered a long time illness. We don't know much about him. He was 60 and had left his two daughters and two sons. He was a Communist Maxist Party M.P. He was very efficient and popular and he also founded the 12th July Committee. He led the State Government of Employees Co-ordination Committee for 30 years. It is regrettable to loss such efficient man for the State, who could become a member in the highest legislation of India.

Thank you.

SPEAKER : Any one want to say more ! Well, I think we don't know much about him. Anyway we are very sorry for the death of a member in the highest legislation, for Obituary

were heard what we knew about him. Therefore, that will be enough but to show our regret, sympathy and respect let us all stand in silence for one minute. (Members stood one minute in silence)

Now we shall take up questions (Pu THENPHUNGA : I submitted Adjournment motion on 13th afternoon and today is 15th. what is the matter with that. Can't we submit ?). we've got two adjournment motions addressed to the Secretary. Let we read them out. Motion on the following subject, on the 15th November, 1984. Since bilateral ceasefire was declared during the previous peace talks between the Government of India and MNF. The Government of India may known also be moved to declare ceasefire and suspension of operation to reciprocate the unilateral cease-fire by the M.N.F. in the present peace partly between the Government of India and the M.N.F.

Yours faithfully,

Brig. T.Sailo.

This was submitted on 13th. But it was not admitted because in our Rule 6(8) its written — The right to move the adjournment of the Assembly for the purpose of discussing the public matter of importance subject to the following restrictions and it must not be a matter which is not the concern of the Mizoram. (Pu Lalhmingthanga : Can the House agree that it does not concern the Government of Mizoram. The reason is that we want both the Government of India and MNF to declare cease-fire. Peace talk is there because it concern Mizoram. The MNF had already declared cease-fire but the Government of India hasn't declared and this brought killing of two MNF at Hortoki just recently. Therefore it will not be good if the House does not regard it does not concern about Mizoram).

We said it does not concern Mizoram because we are not suppose to discuss this subject but we can encourage there who are going to have peace talk. Now they've begun peace talk and it is not necessary for the Government of India is thinking about the U.A.P Act, therefore, we don't have to consider this matter while they are going on.

In the adjournment motion it is particularly mentioned that since bilateral fire was declared during the previous peace talk between the Government of India and the MNF. There is comparison and it's argumentative. It is not permitted to move any motion or resolution argumentatively. Therefore, by judging how it will serve its purpose it is not necessary to admit it since its not even our subject.

PU LALHMINGTHANGA : Let me say few things. It does not mean that we are going to interfere in peace talk, but it means that we are going to request them. As we all know peace talk had taken place thrice. In the previous peace talks cease fire had been declared by both sides. Even the MNF Leaders used to say that cease-fire should be declared by both sides in order to have a good atmosphere. Therefore, it is wrong to think that it is out of our jurisdiction to request the Government of India to declare cease fire. I rather think that it is our duty to request the Government of India to declare cease-fire. Therefore I don't agree to say that it can not be admitted from Rules. It is a matter of public importance, there is no other important than this.

PU LAL THANHAWLA : I'd like to clarify few things. We all want suspension operation not only because of peace talk but also to live in a free life. We therefore, requested them to have peace talk and now they are planning to have peace talk and they've already done some preliminaries. But unluckily there was an incident after the M.N.F. Leader declared cease-fire. As the hon'ble. Spaker had pointed out though this concern us the subject is a purview of central Government. And those who were suppose to have peace talk had already begun the talk. Even Pu Laldenga had told Home Minister about the incident by himself and requested to withdraw U.A.P Act. Therefore, it will be good to agree in Speaker's rulling in this regard because the Home Ministry of Central Government is now having active consideration in this concern and those who are directly concerned are doing ther parts.

PU HIPHEI : Pu Speaker, it seems we used to take 'public importance' only half. It should be recent occurrence which means before the matter comes back. An MLA from Lunglei Constituency could say what was published in newspapers. Therefore the act is not qualified. And then I think Speaker's ruling is right.

PU LALHMINGTHANGA : Pu Speaker, if your ruling is like that we can't change it. In the sense of interpretation recent occurrence means any public importance which occur in between the sessions. Therefore, I don't accept the interpretation you gave.

PU HIPHEI : Pu Speaker, let the Hon'ble Member read Parliamentary Practices.

SPEAKER : Let us not agree further. What I said was right.

PU J. THANGHUAMA : Pu Speaker, I don't know that motion was submitted. I'd like to mention one point but its not that I support the motion. The reason why the Hon'ble Speaker did not admit this motion was not right. He said it does not concern Government of Mizoram but that was wrong. Even Pu Laldenga said many times that Mizoram Government should step down. Truly speaking it is our duty to strengthen Pu Laldenga's hand in their peace talk because we are the one who will reap the result. Even the incident which took place at Hortoki was too bad. Pu Laldedga said he had declared cease fire on 2nd October and he expected the Government of India to declare cease fire so that there won't be no more such infavourable incident. Therefore this adjournment motion deserves to be admitted. So, it is good to request the Government of India to declare cease fire with immediate effect by this House. I, therefore to request the House to pass this resolution.

PU SAINGHAKA : As the House Leader had mentioned, he himself also returned just recently and knew about this thing in detail. Let us hope that they will have peace talk smoothly. I also do not find the need to interfere in this regard while they are doing it.

PU K. SANGCHHUM : It is my wish to go the peace talk smoothly.
DEPUTY SPEAKER If either the Indian Government or Pu Laldenga requested the Assembly to help them it will be different. Sometimes it is not good to do things as our wishes.

SPEAKER : Let me say something. Even during the previous peace talk there were some incidents and there was bilateral cease fire. Therefore it is good to know this kind of administration will go on as usual and same unfavourable incidents will also take place. Now the peace talk is being carried on and we don't have to interfere in this while still being done because it is not our purview.

PU K. BIAKCHUNGNUNGA : Pu Speaker, as one of the Hon'ble Member had pointed out it is meant to strengthen them. Even in between their talk such incident could have taken place. Therefore, it deserves full support of this House. But if your opinion is like that we can not change it. But I'm afraid we will regret if such things happen again in future.

PU SAIKAPTHIANGA : Pu speaker, now the M.N.F. and Government of India are trying their best and it is useless to say let them have cease fire and the like. Therefore your judgement is right.

SPEAKER : If I admit this motion, I know that some Hon'ble Members will try to bring out unnecessary things and that is why I don't want to admit it. And it is not our purview; Therefore it is not necessary to do it. Now I shall read out motion No.2. It is about the date of the election of Lok sabha declared by Election Commission of India. The Election Commission of India declared that Lok sabha Election will be around Christmas. The time is inconvenient for Christians. Therefore, Pu Zairemthanga submitted to the Secretary to request the Government of India to consider the time for Lok sabha Election. It was submitted on yesterday and the time of submission is right. But this subject is in the hands of Election Commission and the Government is not interfere in this matter. We those who observe Christmas are only few in the whole country. It was decided after considering the whole country. It was decided to have Lok sabha Election on 24th

December and if it is not suitable it can be done on 27th December also. Therefore we can't simply against this. It's not even the day of Christmas. Till 26th it's holiday and 27th is no more a holiday. We therefore have to adjust ourselves as the Election Commission had decided.

PU ZAIEMTHANGA : Pu Speaker, it's my Motion but if you cannot admit it we can't force either. It's your discretion. Christmas is not only for Christians. Thinking about campaign and preparation for polling staff, it is sure that some will not be able to Celebrate Christmas with their families if the Election is either on 24th December or 27th December. Therefore, the proposed time is not convenient for us. Those who are Christians. So even if it's the proposal of Election Commission, it is not good to think ourselves as not to able to give suggestions. It is our duty as, representative of the whole Mizo to let them know that the time is not convenient for us. But it is their power whether to permit it or not.

SPEAKER : Now I don't permit to discuss this anymore. I didn't mean that we can't say anything. But the purview is regarded to Election Commission and it is not necessary to do which is not our purview.

Pu LALHMINGTHANGA : Pu Speaker, now two adjournment motions had been submitted which concerned the whole Mizos. But if you refuse to admit these Pu Speaker, the House will have no meaning.

SPEAKER : There is no such things.

FU J. THANHUAMA : Pu Speaker, if we are suppose to elect on 24th. Returning Officers and others will have to be busy from 20th and if it's on 27th they have to go out from 24th because some Villages are 4 or 5 days journey.

Therefore, we must tell the opinion of the House regarding the time factor. And if they still do after telling our opinion its upto

them. But you said its not a duty of the Government. We must guide the Government, So it is not possible to have election at Christmas time by any means.

PU R. LALAWIA : Pu Speaker, its not good not to have a voice to defend our important occassion. We can have election at any time and we must defend this special occassion.

PU SAIKAPTHIANGA : Pu Speaker, I'd like to say few things. They used to tease us like doing everything according to the Central and I used to say let's try to be in the Commander's position. In this election regard also if at least one Mizo is there, I am sure that we don't have to brother it.

SPEAKER : Enough now it's not that the Government can not give advice, but it's an Assembly. So we said let's go according to rules. Even if it's from ruling members I wan't admit it. It's not that the Government will not do it. Now we shall take up question No. 14. Pu F. Lalramliana.

PU F. LALRAMLIANA : Pu Speaker, Question No. 14- will the Hon'ble minister in-charge A.H. & Veterinary Department be pleased to state-

- (a) Whether poultry birds of 3-4 months old were distributed in Chhimtuipui District during the year 1983-1984.
- (b) If so, number of birds distribution and when ?
- (c) If not, why ?

SPEAKER : Let the Minister in-charge answer it.

PU SAINGHAKA : a) No
 MINISTER b) Does not arise
 c) 500 numbers of 3-4 months old

birds were sent during the month of June, 1983 to the District A.H & Vetetary Officer Saiha for distribution at subsidised rates. The birds

were escorted by sub-divisional Vety Officer Lawngtlai. However, due to road block the birds could not reach Chhimtuipui District and the sub-divisional Vety Officer, Lawngtlai, kept all the birds at Lunglei.

As the road communication did not improve for some days and as some birds started dying the District Vety Officer, Lunglei distributed all the birds to avoid loss of Government money.

PU F LALRAMLIANA : Pu Speaker, Supplementary Question. What is the best season in a year to take poultry birds? Is June the best? If June is not the best season, we all know that communication at Chhimtuipui is not good and Chhimtuipui has almost been overflowed during rainy season.

Therefore why do they take in the month of June? Who do they keep at Lunglei? Last year June, the road was through and they also have Sub-Division at Lawngtlai, so who didn't they keep there? Or is the Government simply tries to hide which it didn't give?

PU LTHANGHUAMA : Pu Speaker, the Hon'ble Minister had pointed out that some birds died. How many living birds did they take at that time? How many of them died and how many of them were natured?

SPEAKER : Pi K.Thansiami for question No. 15-

PI K.THANSIAMI : Pu Speaker, Question No. 15-

Will the Hon'ble Minister in-charge Labour & Employment Department be pleased to state-

How many Non Mizos have been registered in the Employment Exchange at Aizawl, Lunglei and Saiha since May, 1984?

SPEAKER : Let the Minister in-charge answer it.

PU HITHI MINISTER : Pu Speaker, there are 43 at Aizawl District, 27 at Lunglei District and 3 at Saiha District.

PJ K. THANSIAMI : Pu Speaker, I'd like to know what are the conditions to have registration in the Employment Exchange? And I'd also like to know whether the Employment Exchange can give another copy of their letters which used to get lost due to the failure of postal system specially in the Villages.

PU HIPHEI : Pu Speaker, we are still using the Assam Rules 1971.
MINISTER And regarding the second question, Pu Speaker, I'm not prepared for that so I can not give an answer for that lost business.

PJ K. THANSIAMI : Pu Speaker, in that particular case I mentioned the person didn't lose it, but he didn't receive it at all. The Minister was not clear in the question. I asked the condition and he said that they are using that Rule. I asked what's written in that Rule. Let me ask another question. A few days ago there was an interview for the post of J.E. under P.W.D. In that it was heard that there were many non Mizos as quest. I'd like to know that did those people fulfilled the conditions required by the Rule like he should have an L.S.C pass in his name, he should know Mizo language and his name should be in the Electoral Roll and also he should have been resided in Mizoram at least 12 years.

PU HIPHEI MINISTER : Pu Speaker, in the condition those Non-Mizos who have permanent settlement can be registered. And for Technical Doctor, J.E. and E.E. if there is no Mizos, Non-Mizos can be taken with the agreement of the Department. And those who have permanent settlement can be registered not only for technical lines.

PU ZAIEMTHANGA : Pu Speaker, Supplementary Question, that Assam Rule 1971 is not correct Rule, it's only standing order. In that is only permanent order? In that did it mean those we have house in Assam? If so even for us should it be those who have house in Mizoram?

PU J. THANGHUAMA : Pu Speaker, I was not permitted to ask how many Non-Mizos work in the Government of Mizoram. But now it's a chance to point it out again. 1971 Rule clearly says how many years they can stay in Mizoram. Then I'd like to know what those 43 persons who quitted Aizawl fulfilled the Rule? And now there are many Non-Mizos working in the Government of Mizoram, they are nearly 40 p.c.

Therefore, I'd like to ask the hon'ble Minister that do all these Non-Mizos who work in the Government of Mizoram register themselves in the Employment Exchange and is it possible to find this out ?

And do these people have entry permit ? How did the Employment Exchange do at the time of their registration ? Whose authority or criteria did they accept as being resided ten or twenty years in Mizoram.

PU HIPHEI MINISTER : Pu Speaker, let me start from the last. Those 43 from Aizawl District which I had read out were registered in the Employment Exchange. But it does not mean that all of them can apply. In the verification we had 16 of them did not have permanent address and they were eliminated. So now we have only 27 Non-Mizos registered in the Employment Exchange in Aizawl District.

These are Gurkhalis who live exactly as Mizo who have no other place to earn livelihood. Regarding the criteria being used. I verified it and as I've just mentioned now 16 of them who do not have permanent addresses were eliminated. And we registered only those who have permanent address.

PU J. THANGHUAMA : Pu Speaker, it seems that no police investigation was taken for the Non-Mizos who work in the Government of Mizoram. Therefore, I'd like to request the Hon'ble Minister to tell me whether such investigation was taken or not.

Just recently also the previous Agriculture Director brought one Non Mizo from Shillong and appointed him as a peon. I'd like to ask that that did the Government know this man was not registered in the Employment Exchange and didn't even have entry permit ? And in Transport Department also, a non-Mizo was objected but they back dated the appointment. Will you explain this ?

PU HIPHEI MINISTER : Pu Speaker, the Government didn't get any such information.

PU J. THANGHUAMA : Pu Speaker, I demand the Government of Mizoram to know all the Non-Mizos working under the Government of Mizoram whether their names had been registered in the Employment Exchange or not, whether police verification had been done or not.

SPEAKER : The one who asked the question is not supposed to ask only the leading question. The Government should prepare to be able to answer all questions and even if the right question is not given, that will be a very useful information. And we must try to avoid unnecessary questions in Starred Question. And if the Minister needs preparation he can ask to give time for preparation in order to have a proper may in Supplementary Questions.

No. 16.

Now Pu K.L.Lianchia for question

PU K.L.LIANCHIA : Pu Speaker, Question No 16 is-

Will the Hon'ble Minister in-charge Education Department be pleased to state-

Whether the Aided School Teachers in Mizoram enjoy the Triple Benefit Scheme. (The U.T. Government Aided Schools Teachers Contributory, Provident Fund-cum-Insurance cum Pension Rules, 1965).

- a) If Yes, since when?
- b) If not, the reason thereof.

SPEAKER : Let the Minister in-charge answer it.

PU ROKAMLOVA MINISTER No.

- a) Does not arise.
- b) The Scheme is under active

process for implementation

PU K.L.LIANCHIA : Pu Speaker. Supplementary Question. The permission had been given since 1977, and even the

Director tried their best. Education Minister and Finance & Accounts are discussing financial implecation. Therefore, I'd like to know did the previous Government despond and drop it?

PU ROKAMLOVA MINISTER : Pu Speaker, it's right that they couldn't do during their term. I am sure you all know whether they were desponded or not. What we know is that they have done nothing. We contacted Central in the month of July and on 31th October we've written the guidelines. And we also included 1985 Matching Grant in the Budget hoping that there may be some-ways. That's how we do it. But I'm sorry I can't tell how the previous Government did.

PU K.LLIANCHIA : Pu Speaker, I just asked because its, said they were desponded. Thank you.

PU ZAIREMTHANGA : Pu Speaker, the Government should know how things were done in the previous Government. Everything is kept in the office and from that everything can be known is that there is no intention to know things.

SPEAKER : Pi K. Thansiami for question No. 17.

PI K. THANSIAMI : Pu Speaker, Question No. 17 is-

Will the Hon'ble Minister in-charge Health and Family Welfare Department be pleased to state -

What are the reasons for changing the site of the building which is being constructed for Public Health Sub-Centre at Sakawrtuichhun?

SPEAKER : The Minister in-charge will answer it.

PU SAINGHAKA MINISTER : Pu Speaker, as the Original site was narrow and insufficient for construction of the entire complement of Dispensary and Staff Quarters as per specification, the site was changed to a better location.

PI K. THANSIAMI : Pu Speaker, the previous place for Health Sub-Centre was visited by two persons from Health Department and they said it was good. Even the V.C.S. compared these two places and measured

both sites. And they chose the previous site as the present site in smaller. Even those who went from Health Department said it was sufficient. As the old site was in the middle of the Village, it was very convenient for the Villagers. The site to which it was shifted was the cornermost of the Village. Public meeting was organised in order to decide and it that only 13 of them chose the present place while the rest chose the old one. Besides these, public energy and contribution in money had been spent to built it the old place and now they nearly finish it. Still then I'd like to know why it's been shifted to a more narrow place.

PU SAINGHAKA MINISTER : Pu Speaker, on 20.7.84 Director went there and had a look. According to him the present site in which they are building is much better than the previous one for public and for Department also it will be easier to construct the building. So by now the Sub-Centre had been completed.

PI K. THANSIAMI : Pu Speaker, that is not possible. It is only because of politics? The previous site was lended to the father of Sangi for forge by the chief. It's not private but later Sangi claimed as her own place and she filed a case in the court on 24.11.82. But she was declared as guilty by Magistrate and Subordinate District Council Court. And she appealed again, there also the President of District Council Court declared her as guilty. But as Pi Sangi was one of the leaders in the Congress, her place was shifted in this manner.

PU SAINGHAKA MINISTER : Pu Speaker, the Government did not know wheather Pi Sangi had a place there or not.

SPEAKER : As the questions are asked by those who know more, it is very interesting now Pu K.L. Lianchia for question no. 18.

PU K.L. LIANCHIA : Pu Speaker, question no. 18 is will the Hon'ble Minister in-charge Education be pleased to state- Whether there is any intension to give training facilities to High School Craft Teachers?

SPEAKER : Minister in-charge will answer.

PU ROKAMLOVA MINISTER : Pu Speaker, the answer to question No. 13 is yes.

SPEAKER : Pi K. Thansiami for question no. 19.

PI K. THANSIAMI : Pu Speaker, question No. 19 is -

Will the Hon'ble Minister in-charge Revenue etc. Department be pleased to state —

(a) How many house passes have been cancelled by the new Ministry and stayed so far ?.

(b) The reason thereof ?.

SPEAKER : Minister-incharge will answer it.

PU VAIVENGA : a) Pu Speaker, 54 nos of house passes (viz 47 in MINISTER Lunglei District and 7 in Aizawl District) have been cancelled.

And 448 nos. of house passes have been stayed so far by the New Ministry.

b) The house passes in respect of Aizawl District have been cancelled as there are clear cases of either duplicity or allotment of private land to new applicants. In respect of Lunglei District, the plots of land allotted falls outside town area excepting one plot of land which is not vacant.

Stayed order have been issued for the period 1.3.1934 to 8.5.1934 to enable the Government to rectify such cases of double allotments and enable private lands allotted to others. A committee is already constituted to look into the matter vide office order Memo No.LRR/A-North/2/83/Pt.III/88 dt. 7.11.1984.

PI K.THANSIAMI : Pu Speaker, that cannot be true because I've seen in my own eyes. For example, in Mission veng near the graveyard Pu Zorammuana was given a plot of land. But it was cancelled and Pu Laldiwla had been given instead of Pu Zorammuana Like wise even during the previous Congress Government. I myself had been a victim. Pu C.L.Ruala gave me a stall pass but the present Congress Ministry Stayed it and cancelled it without any reason. I was sure

that it was vacant. Then they gave it to Pu F Hrangvela within no time. Therefore, does it match with the answer given by the Hon'ble Minister.

PU SAINGHAKA MINISTER : Pu Speaker, I'd like to say few things because that was while I took the charge of Revenue Minister, some censored have pass like for example, site for Sericulture at Zemabawk, Which had been given to 41 persons was decided to be kept for Department. But I don't know whether it was done or not. Even at Lunglei, the land for Industries meant for Weaving Demonstrator had been divided by six persons. Regarding, Mission Veng case, it was applied by 4/5 people, among which Pu Zairemmuana was given by the previous Ministry. Pu Laldawla also had applied for it. His House pass was destroyed by P.W.D and no compensation was given to him. Pu J.Lianchungnunga's son in law who is the son of Pu Buangthanga also applied for it as a replacement of his House patta which had been given in someone's place. So among those who applied, the most undeserving who has a good House nearby was given. Then it was cancelled and gave it to the most deserving one, i.e Pu Laldawla who is a peon in Industries Department.

PU ZAIREMTHANGA : Pu Speaker, Supplementary question. Why did the House passed given after January 1984 were stayed? Are they still being stayed?

PU VAIVENGA MINISTER : Pu Speaker, as mentioned earlier, stayed order have been issued for the period of 1.3.1984 to 8.5.1984 because of double allotments and private lands allotted to others. The stayed order is still standing. And a Committee which was constituted to look into the matter will take action soon.

PU LALHMINGTHANGA : Pu Speaker, the Hon'ble Minister had said 54 nos. of house passes have been cancelled in the whole of Mizoram. But is this right? According to the Hon'ble Finance Minister' even only at Zemabawk, 41 passes have been cancelled and at Lunglei 5 passes. And besides these it seems that in various places also there were some cancellations. What I know clearly is that at Lunglei Hminlokawn 42 passes have been cancelled. Therefore, by adding together all the passes cancelled in the whole of Mizoram cannot be only 54. So, I'd like to ask is the no correct?

And according to our rule, if any pass is to be cancelled a show cause notice should be given to the holder of the pass. But it seems that no show cause notice was given and even so ne pass holders did not know that their passes have been cancelled. Those 42 from Hminlokawn were not given show cause notice and they were not given time to clarify themselves. It seems the Government simply cancelled them. So, I think it is good to follow the rules which we have made. Therefore, I'd like to ask didnot the Government go according to the rules when it cancelled these passes ?.

PU VAIVENGA MINISTER : Pu Speaker, as I've answered, the no. of pass cancelled was 54 and they were cancelled according to rules.

Pu LALHMINGLIANA : Pu Speaker, what the Hon'ble Minister said didnot convince me because those 42 persons come to me and told me about this. I also have asked the R.O of Lunglei Revenue Office whether they had given show cause notice or not and they told me that one was given. And I also got an information that when the Minister went there, they were told to cancel so they just cancelled them. So, I'd like to take the House that no rules was observed in this regard.

PU SAINGHAKA MINISTER : Pu Speaker, I thin my speech confused the hon'ble member I think simpathy pointed out how wrong was the previous Government in giving house pass. Those were while I took the charge so I don't know whether they were cancelled or not after that. They are not included among these 54.

SPEAKEK : Pu J Thanghuama for question no. 29

PU J THANGHUAMA : Pu Speaker, first I'd like to say why I asked this question. There is no sufficient medicines for poor in Civil Hospital. They have to buy from others shops. I thought we don't have suppliers anymore and also thought that there is no more money in the fund. So will the Hon'ble Minister in charge Health Department be pleased to State-

- a) Names and particulars of suppliers of medicines and materials for the Government Hospital for the year 1979-1984.
- b) The value of medicines and materials supplied, year wise.
- c) On what basis were the suppliers selected? and
- d) Who selected them?

PU SAINGHAKA MINISTER : a) Pu Speaker, names of suppliers with their particulars for the year 1979-1984 are

- 1) North East Laboratory, G.S.Road, Gulbari Gauhati.
- 2) Mizoram Drug Centre, Bara Bazar, Aizawl.
- 3) Hrangchhuana Pharmacy, Bara Bazar, Aizawl.
- 4) Drugs Distribution, Gopal Ganj. Silchar.
- 5) Tirumati Pharmaceutical, Calcutta.
- 6) Aristo Pharmaceutical, Bombay.
- 7) Voltas Limited, P.C Silphukri. Gauhati.
- 8) P. Hemtlal and Co. Calcutta.
- 9) Rallis India Ltd. Calcutta.
- 10) Sahrid Gergy Ltd. Gauhati.
- 11) Continental chemical, Patna.
- 12) Jaggat Sing's Gransons, Calcutta.
- 13) Neil Pharmaceuticals, Bombay.
- 14) Emerect Put. Ltd, Calcutta.
- 15) Simex Trading Co. Cauhati.
- 16) Spencer & Co. Calcutta.
- 17) Sarabhai Chemicals. Gauhati.
- 18) Bijoy Store Trading Corporation, Gauhati.
- 19)
- 20) Capital Surgical Co, New Delhi.
- 21) Oriental Drug Centre, Aizawl.
- 22) Indian Laboratories, Calcutta.
- 23) Pari Drug House, Aizawl.
- 24) Above Pharmaceuticals, Shillong.
- 25) North East Laboratories, Gauhati.
- 26) Medicines, 14 Netaji Subash Marg, New Delhi.

- 27) City Drug Store, Aizawl.
- 28) Hrangchhuana Pharmacy, Aizawl.
- 29) Mizoram Drug Centre, Aizawl.

No. 21 - No. 29 Supplied from number 1983. So those who supplied from 1983 till today are :-

- 1) Pari Drug House, Aizawl
- 2) Medicare, New Delhi
- 3) North East Laboratories, Calcutta
- 4) Elite Medical Store, Aizawl
- 5) Hrangchhuana Pharmacy Aizawl
- 6) City Drug Store, Aizawl
- 7) Oriental Drug Centre, Aizawl
- 8) Spencer & Co. Calcutta
- 9) Mizoram Drug Centre, Aizawl

b) MEDICINES :-

1978—1979	Rs. 28.76 Lakhs
1979—1980	Rs. 51.20 Lakhs
1980—1981	Rs. 55.61 Lakhs
1981—1982	Rs. 70.62 Lakhs
1982—1983	Rs. 45.84 Lakhs
1983—1984	Rs. 47.95 Lakhs
1984—1985	Upto October
1984—	Rs. 39.21 Lakhs

EQUIPMENTS :-

1978—1979	Rs. 19.45 Lakhs
1979—1980	Rs. 46.90 Lakhs
1980—1981	Rs. 17.36 Lakhs
1981—1982	Rs. 21.75 Lakhs
1982—1983	Rs. 27.37 Lakhs
1983—1984	Rs. 23.33 Lakhs
1984—1985	Up to October
1984—	Rs. 17.15 Lakhs

c) Tenders were invited. The Tenders so received were scrutinised and the rates, quality and genuineness were examined by the purchase Board headed by the Development Commissioner. Orders were placed on the basis of recommendation of the Purchase Board.

Purchase Board formed by the Government of Mizoram.

PU J. THANGHUAMA : PU Speaker, Supplementary question. I thought we don't have the money but we have

lots. But whenever the poor and villagers came to the Hospital they could never get medicines, they always used to buy from other shops, while the budget this is very high. I therefore, would like to know the reason for this.

And secondly, if I'm not mistaken, one of the suppliers i.e Capital surgery. Delhi was in the block list in Meghalaya, Nagaland and even in Aizawl but it appears in the list of suppliers. Therefore, I request the hon'ble Minister to tell whether it is in the block list or not and if yes, why can it be a supplier? And also the reason for the shortage of medicines

PU SAINGHAKA : PU Speaker, regarding the availability of medicines, **MINISTER** in general, there is enough medicines in Civil Hospital. But there are some medicines which are not supplied. These kind of medicines need to be bought from outside. The Department is sorry for this and it takes necessary steps in order to overcome the problems of the poor.

Regarding Capital Surgical Company, the question said from 1979. So it is a bit old. Now the Capital Surgical Company does not deal in Mizoram in regard to medicines. It is right that the previous Ministry block listed it. But we heard that it appealed to Supreme Court and the court declared it not guilty. Still then, the Capital Surgical Company is not among the Suppliers of medicines in Mizoram. The following are who supply the Civil Hospital.

- 1) City Drug Store, Zion Store, Bara Bazar Aizawl.
- 2) Utam Industries, 62 Dayanand Road New Delhi, and
- 3) Mizoram Drug Centre, Bara Bazar, Aizawl.

PU K. SANGCHHUMA : Pu Speaker, supplementary question. The amount spent for medicines in the year 1981—1982 was Rs. 70.62 Lakhs which was almost tripple of other years. What is the reason for this ?
Dy SPEAKER

PU J. THANGHUAMA : Pu Speaker, Supplementary question how did the Government make indent of medicines ? It varied from year to year. Sometimes it was increased and sometimes it decreased. Like in 1981 -1982, it cost about Rs. 70 Lakhs and in 1982 —1983 it decreased to Rs. 42 Lakhs. Meanwhile, the numbers of patients in the Hospital increased each year. So how did the Government make indent of medicines ? Even if you go yourself to the Hospital today you will find out that there are some medicines which are out of stock but these are badly needed by the people of Mizoram. We will never get those medicines which are not available in the beginning and those medicines which are supplied from the beginning are in a large quantity. So, how do they do it ?

PU SAINGHAKA MINISTER : Pu Speaker, regarding the increase of money in the year 1981 -1982. I can not give in detail now. If the hon'ble members really wants to know this let him come to me in private I will try to furnish it from Department. In general the amount of money will be different each year. Therefore, it is clear that the left over money of one year can not it depends on budget. So, the expenditure for this can be verified.

Regarding sbortage of medicines, even in medical College Hospital also some medicines are not available. And medicals are bought according to the demand and prescribed by the doctors. There are no medicines which are bought in vain or not prescribed by the doctors.

PU R. LALAWIA : Pu Speaker, contractors quoted rates for medicines and never supplied the lower ones. It seems that with the agreement of those who indent, the suppliers used to supply only those medicines of the high rate but not thinking the needs of the people. Therefore, I'd like to ask the Government is there a way to clieck this ? And while the Capital Surgery Company, Delhi used to supply materials they used to supply those of good rates in abundance. I therefore wanted to request the Government to trace this.

PU J. THANGHUAMA : Pu Speaker, let me ask one more supplementary question. The hon'ble Minister said that medicines were bought according to the demand of the doctors. And he also pointed out that the availability of medicines depend on the amount of money we have for exam example even when many Chakmas entered Mizoram. These had never been lack of food. Like that there are many patients but an excuse. We have budget, even will pass a supplementary budget again—. And regarding the purchase of medicines according to the prescription of doctors, sometimes those prescribed medicines were not in the Hospital. Therefore, I't like to ask and request to be really or stock those necessary medicines for Mizoram and is there any way for this ?

PU SAINGHAKA MINISTER : Pu Speaker, when the material reach, we will try to check these things as requested by the Hon'ble members from Khawhai Constituency.

SPEAKER : Now Pu Zairemthanga for question No. 21.

PU ZAIREMTHANGA : Pu Speaker, question no. 21 is— will the Hon'ble Minister in charge Education Department be pleased to State—
Whether the intention of the previous Government to give Aizawl College a Dificit system of grant-in-aid be implemented within current financial year ?.

SPEAKER : Let the Education Minister answer it.

PU ROKAMLOVA MINISTER : Pu. Speaker, Government proposed to give Aizawl College a Status of deficit system of Grant-in-aid necessary has also been incorporated in the Annual Plan 1984—1985.

SPEAKER : Pi K. Thansiami for question No. 22.

PI K.THANSIAMI : Pu, Speaker, question no 22 will the Hon'ble Minister incharge Industries Department be pleased to state—
a) Whether Industrial Loan has been given by the Government to the beneficiaries already selected by the Industrial Loan Board in November 1983
b) If not, the reasons there of.

SPEAKER : The Minister in—charge will answer it.

PU LIANSUAMA MINISTER : a). Pu Speaker, the industrial Loan has not been given.

b). Financial Department rejected the proposal due to poor recovery of Industrial Loans Sanctioned in the previous Year.

SPEAKER : Pu F. Lalramliana for question No. 23.

PU F. LALRAMLIANA : Pu Speaker, I'd like clarify something before asking the question. My question is not so clear and I do believe that it will be hard for the one who is going to answer it. The previous Government hang a big sign board at Diltlang which read - Diltlang adopted Village 20 point Economics Programme. But nothing has been given from Veterinary Department. So will the Hon'ble Minister in-charge Animal Husbandary & Veterinary Department be pleased to state -

Whether there is any intention to give any Government Assistant to the selected villagers Diltlang through Veterinary Department.

PU SAINGHAKA MINISTER : Pu Speaker, there is no specific intention to give assistance to the selected Villagers of Diltlang through Veterinary Department. Let me explain a bit further. Diltlang Village was one of the adopted Village among five villages under 20 point Economics Programme. And it was selected for Cattle development. So, in order to do this, one cross bred bull had been given and the department thought it's enough for the time being.

PU F.LALRAMLIANA : Pu Speaker, supplementary question., does giving one cross bred bull mean adopted village ?.

PU SAINGHAKA MINISTER : Pu Speaker, as I already had mentioned it is the practice of the Government to keep one cross bred bull.

SPEAKER : Pu R. Lalawia for question No. 24

PU R. LALAWIA : Pu Speaker, question no. 24 is will the Hon'ble Minister in-charge Supply and Transport. Department be pleased to State—

- a) The required quantity of food stuff for 1984 and the cost of carriage from Aizawl to Lungdar 'E', Biata and Sialhawk via Serchhip.
- b) The cost of carriage of the same quantity of food stuff from Aizawl to the same Villages via Khawzawl.
- c) The difference in the total cost of carriage between (a) and (b)

SPEAKER : Let the Minister in-charge answer it.

PU R. THANGLIANA MINISTER : a) Pu Speaker, the required quantities for the centres are—

- 1) Lungdar 'E' — 3,500 Quintals.
- 2) Biata — 2,300 Quintals.
- 3) Sialhawk — 2,000 Quintals.

Rates for carriage of foodstuff to the above mentioned Centres from Serchhip are—

- 1) Lungdar 'E' — Rs.130.00 Per Quintals.
- 2) Biata — Rs.140.00 Per Quintals.
- 3) Sialhawk — Rs.150.00 Per Quintals.

b) Cost of carriage same quantity of food stuff from Aizawl to the same destination via Khawzawl are—

- 1) Lungdar 'E' — Rs. 33.00 Per Quintals.
- 2) Biata — Rs. 34.00 Per Quintals.
- 3) Sialhawk — Rs. 32.00 Per Quintals.

c) The difference in the total cost of carriage between (a) and (b) are —

- 1) Lungdar 'E' — Rs. 97.00 Per Quintals.
- 2) Biata — Rs.106.00 Per Quintals.
- 3) Sialhawk — Rs.118.00 Per Quintals.

PU R. LALAWIA : Pu Speaker, Supplementary question. The cost of carriage from Aizawl to these three centres via Ser-

chhip was not answered. And if there is no contract for Aizawl to Serchhip, I'll be glad to know at least the approved rate.

PU R. THANGLIANA : These are selected as centre by F.C.I. they are carried directly, so there is no separate rate for Aizawl to Serchhip from Silchar its Rs. 40.50p.
MINISTER

PU R. LALAWIA : Pu Speaker, what is the Government approved rate for carriage per Kilometre.

PU R. THANGLIANA MINISTER : Pu, Speaker, I cannot answer it.

PU R. LALAWIA : Pu Speaker, what is the extra expenditure for carriage via Serchhip to these three centres ?.

PU R. THANGLIANA : Pu Speaker, we gave them more than they demanded. Lungdar 'E' demanded 3,500 quintals but we gave 4,975 quintals and 60 kgs Biate demanded 2,300 quintals but they were given 3,280 quintals and Sialhawk demanded 2,000 demanded and they were given 3671.55 quintals. During monsoon due to the problem of transport, they were carried by Jeep. At Lungdar 'E' 2656.11 quintals, at Biate 367.71 quintals and at Sialhawk 1321.13 quintals. So, the excess expenditure becomes Rs.454850.07.
MINISTER

SPEAKER : Question hour is over. We shall go to item no. 3 laying of papers. Now let the Finance Minister lay of papers.

PU SAINGHAKA MINISTER : Pu Speaker, with your permission I beg to lay Mizoram Excise Rule 1983 in the House.

SPEAKER : Now Volume 1 of Mizoram Excise Rule 1983 has been laid on the Table of the House by Finance Minister, let the copy be distributed.

Now item no. 4 Motions by Pu Zalawma.

PU ZALAWMA : Mr. Speaker, sir, with your kind permission I beg to move-That the Members of this House do proceed

to elect in the manner required by sub-Rule (3) of Rule 182 of the Rule of Procedure and conduct of Business in Mizoram Legislative Assembly a member to fill one vacancy in the Committee on Estimate caused by an appointment of Pu Liansuama as Minister of state on 16.6.1984. Thank you.

SPEAKER : According to Rule 232 sub-Rule (1) a Minister shall not be elected as a member of the Committee and that of a member, after his election to the Committee is appointed a Minister he shall cease to be a member of the Committee from the date of such appointment. Now also as Hon'ble member Pu Liansuama had been appointed as Minister of State on 16th June 1984, his membership in the Committee ceased automatically and now the vacancy has to be filled up. As this is Financial Committee, member should be elected and just now the Chairman of Estimate Committee had moved the motion. Is there anyone who object the motion? There is no one. Then we shall have election for this shall we just arrange ourselves. Then Estimate Committee Chairman nominated Pu Zoduha. Do we all agree? Well, Pu Zoduha will occupy the place vacated by Pu Liansuama and his term will be the rest of the present term.

PU K. BIAKCHUNGNUNGA : Mr. Speaker Sir, I beg to move that the members of this House do proceed to elect in the manner required by Sub-Rule 3 of Rule 182 of the Rules of Procedure and Conduct of Business in Mizoram Legislative Assembly a member to fill one vacancy by an appointment of Pu Hiphei as Minister of State on 16-6-1984" Thank you.

SPEAKER : Estimate Committee and PAC are Financial Committee. Therefore, this should also be elected to fill one vacancy by an appointment of Pu Hiphei as Minister of State on 16.6.1984. Is there any one who refuse this motion? If not, there is a proposal to appoint Pu F. Lalchawna. So do we all agree? Now Pu Lalhuthanga for No. 6.

PU LALHUTHANGA : Pu Speaker, I propose to ammend Mizoram Pension Rules. Member of the Mizoram Legislative Assembly Rules 1984, Rules No. 12 which read — "If any controversy arrives as to the entitlement of pension or interpretation of these

rules, the matter shall be referred to Government in the Parliamentary Branch or the Political Department who shall decide the matter in consultation with the Finance Department and such decisions in the matter shall be final" into - "If any controversy arises as to the entitlement of pension or interpretation of these rules the matter shall be referred to the Government in the Law Department who shall decide the matter in consultation with the Finance Department, and such decision in the matter shall be final".

SPEAKER : This is about the pension rule of MLA's. There is an Act and this Act gives power to the Government to make rules, and the rule has already been made. This House can approve and also can alter it. Now for this section 12 of rule no. 12, how to amend has been submitted. Do you have anything to say in this regard? Or can we accept the motion?

PU J. THANGHUAMA : I think it's all right. But just for point of information, I'd like to know about our contributions.

SPEAKER : I went myself for this. We proposals for this matter we want to be back dated but when they looked about this, there were many complications so they found it difficult to do as our proposals. So, what we can do now is to amend this rule so that those who want to draw will be able to draw.

PI K. THANSIAMI : Pu Speaker, I've got something to ask in this rule. Will the nominated MLA's be accept as full term though they were not in the beginning?

SPEAKER : That will be considered again. The effective date can also be regarded as retropective effect because they agreed this. But since it is time bar, till 60 days can be excused. If the nominated member had joined and take an oath during to months, that can be waved. But more than 60 days is difficult. But shall we can ask them again to be at least 90 days since we haven't amended it. We shall have to make Amend-net Bill in the next session.

PI K. THANSIAMI : Pu Speaker, I'd like to request them to make more than 90 days.

SPEAKER : We'll have time to discuss for this in Parliamentary Meeting and we'll also have meeting.

PU ZAIREMTHANGA : Pu Speaker, they have amended our amendment. Therefore, I'd like to know the benefit of this.

PU LALHUTHANGA : Pu Speaker, Law & Judicial Department used to take up every rules. But this pension has to go through Finance Department as it includes many matter. From this Assembly Secretariat, it has to go to Political Department and Finance Department and them to Law and Judicial Department. But there can be a short cut if it goes straight to Finance Department. I move this in the hope of that things will be done more quick.

PU ZAIREMTHANGA : Pu Speaker, every rules have to take Law advice whether they are to be passed or changed. Therefore, even in this regard will there be some problems without going through the Parliamentary Branch of the Political Department ?

PU ZALAWMA : Pu Speaker, In this pension fund all the rules had been done even at the level of Parliamentary Branch of the Political Department policy matter. And permission of Home Ministry had also been taken. That means its no more a policy matter, so it will be necessary to consult Political Department. Parliamentary Branch just for interpretation. So I accept this interpretation of rules no. 12 as to make more easy pension rules.

SPEAKER : If there is no more to say, lets call upon the mover of this amendment to read out the motion.

PU LALHUTHANGA : Pu Speaker, rules no. 12 - If any controversy arrises as to the entitlement of pension or interpretation of these rules the matter shall be referred to the Government in the Law Department who shall decide the matter in consultation with the Finance Department and such decision in the matter shall be final. I do request you to pass the amendment.

SPEAKER : Now he had read out, that will be enough. Those who agree to pass the amendment of pension rules please say so. Is there anyone who do not agree. It's good.

Now we've finished our business. Leader of the House has something to say regarding election. So lets call upon him.

PU LALTHANHAWLA : Thank you, Pu Speaker, regarding the date
CHIEF MINISTER for Lok Sabha election, it's not favourable for all of us as all of us are Christians. We've pointed out many points as suggestion. But we cannot make final decision therefore, we'll leave it for Election Commission of India but they should be in mind our suggestion. The date proposed was 24th and 27th December. It's reasonable for those who do not understand us. But, yesterday as soon as I reached, I got a message from Political Advisor to Prime Minister in which, he asked me which one will be convenient for us. And he also told me to tell the decision after consulting the colleague and he also stated that other States of North Eastern had chosen the 27th. I also thought that 27th will be more convenient for us. Last night also, Chief Minister of Assam Mr Saikia and Captain Sangma of Meghalaya Chief Minister telephoned me and they said though the dates are not convenient, we've chosen the 27th. And the Congress Chief Minister of North Eastern States agreed to have election on either 28th or 29th and the matter had been told to the Chief Election Commission. I could not mention this earlier, so now I brought this to inform the hon'ble members that we have requested to be 29th.

SPEAKER : Well, will stop for today, and we shall start at 10:30 AM tomorrow. Meeting adjourned.

FOURTH SITTING ON FRIDAY, 16th. NOVEMBER 1984.

PRESENTS

Dr H. Thansanga Sreaker, at the chair, Chief Minister, Seven Ministers and twenty four members were present.